The specificity marker -e in spoken Persian with indefinite noun phrases

Roya Sadeghpoor Universität zu Köln rsadeghp@uni-koeln.de Klaus von Heusinger Universität zu Köln

klaus.vonheusinger@uni-koeln.de

Persian has two indefinite markers, the prenominal ye(k) and the suffixed -i. Both forms express particular kinds of indefiniteness as does their combination: Indefinites with -i express a non-uniqueness or anti-definite implication and behave similar to English any. Ye(k), on the other hand, expresses an at-issue existence implication and behaves similar to English a(n). The combination ye(k) NP-i expresses an anti-singleton implication and behaves similar to English some or other (Jasbi, 2016). The marker -e can be combined with ye(k), as in (1), but not with (solitary) -i, as in (2). While the Differential Object Marker -ra/ro is also compatible with a non-specific reading, its combination with the marker -e allows only for a specific interpretation, as in (3).

- (1) Ye(k) pesar-e-(i) inja kar mikone.

 A boy-E-(i) here work do.3SG.

 'A/some (specific) boy works here.'
- (2) *Pesar-e-i inja kar mikone.

 <u>boy-E-i</u> here work do.3SG.

 '*Any (specific) boy works here.'
- (3) Man mikham shoro konam ye(k) ketab-e-i-ro bekhunam. I want.1SG begin do.1SG a book-E-i-DOM read.1SG. 'I want to start reading a book.'

Based on these and further observations, we argue that -e is a specificity marker, while DOM in Persian has a different pragmatic function.

References: Browne, W. (1970). More on Definiteness Markers: Interrogatives in Persian. *Linguistic Inquiry*, 3(1), 359-363. • Ghomeshi, J. (2003). -Plural Marking, Indefiniteness and the Noun Phrase. *Studia Linguistica*, 57(2), 47-74. • Jasbi, M. (2016). Three Types of Indefinitenes in Persian: Simple, Complex and Antidefinite. *Proceedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory*. 26, pp. 244–263. Ithaca, NY: CLC Publications. • Karimi, S. (1999). Specificity Effect: Evidence from Persian. *Linguistic Review*, 125-141.